

# SVB Interests' Vision Statement: The Meaning Behind it An Essay by Stephen A Batman November 25, 2025

## Our Vision Statement

**“The soul of America shall be a just society where wise, industrious, hard-working, and virtuous citizens flourish due to habits of moral and intellectual excellence while caring for and assuring dignity to those citizens of goodwill who legitimately suffer.”**

## The Soul of America: Unpacking a Vision for Justice, Virtue, and Flourishing

The vision articulated by SVB Interests—that "The soul of America shall be a just society where wise, industrious, hard-working, and virtuous citizens flourish due to habits of moral and intellectual excellence while caring for and assuring dignity to those citizens of goodwill who legitimately suffer"—represents a profound philosophical statement about national identity, moral character, and civic responsibility. This vision weaves together classical philosophy, particularly Aristotelian virtue ethics, with distinctly American principles embedded in our constitutional framework. To fully understand this vision requires unpacking its key concepts, examining their philosophical foundations, and exploring their practical applications to American civic life.

## The Soul: Individual and Collective

The concept of "soul" has ancient roots in philosophical thought. Aristotle understood the soul (*psuchê*) as "the first actuality of a naturally organized body"—the animating principle that distinguishes living beings from inanimate matter. For Aristotle, the soul was not a separate entity inhabiting the body but rather the organization and purpose that allows a living thing to function and pursue its nature. In human beings, the soul encompasses both our biological functions and our distinctively human capacities for reason, moral judgment, and self-directed action.<sup>[1]</sup>

When we speak of America having a "soul," we invoke a metaphorical extension of this concept to describe a collective identity and animating principle. Just as an individual's soul represents their essential character and purpose, a nation's soul embodies its fundamental

values, shared aspirations, and moral character. The "soul of America" refers to those core principles and ideals that bind diverse individuals into a unified people with common purpose.<sup>[2][3]</sup>

This national soul is not merely an abstraction but manifests in concrete institutions, practices, and habits. As reflected in founding documents like the Declaration of Independence and Constitution, America's soul has historically centered on principles of human dignity, natural rights, self-governance, and ordered liberty. The American soul represents what political philosophers call "creedal identity"—a shared commitment to foundational ideals rather than ethnic or cultural homogeneity. This understanding allows America to have a soul despite its remarkable diversity: citizens from varied backgrounds can participate in a common identity defined by shared principles rather than shared ancestry.<sup>[4][5]</sup>

## The Just Society: Foundations of Fairness

A "just society" in the SVB Interests vision draws from deep philosophical traditions about justice and fairness. Plato and Aristotle both understood justice (*dikaionê*) as a comprehensive virtue involving right relationships and proper ordering. For Aristotle, justice meant giving each person their due—treating people fairly according to merit and need while maintaining the common good.<sup>[6][7][8]</sup>

A just society exhibits several essential features: First, it provides equal access to basic rights and opportunities regardless of circumstances of birth. Second, it maintains the rule of law, ensuring that laws apply equally to all and that no one stands above the law. Third, it protects individual dignity while promoting the common good. Fourth, it creates conditions where people can develop their capacities and pursue meaningful lives. Finally, it addresses legitimate needs without creating dependency or undermining personal responsibility.<sup>[10][11]</sup>

In the American constitutional framework, justice finds expression through our republican institutions designed to balance majority rule with protection of minority rights, prevent concentration of power through separation of powers, and secure fundamental liberties through constitutional limits on government. The Constitution itself establishes justice as a foundational purpose in its preamble, setting the framework for laws that apply equally and protect both individual rights and collective welfare.<sup>[12][13]</sup>

## Wisdom and Its Application

Wisdom in the SVB Interests vision refers primarily to what Aristotle called *phronesis*—practical wisdom. Unlike theoretical wisdom (*sophia*) which concerns universal truths, or technical skill (*techne*) which applies to making things, practical wisdom involves sound judgment about how to live well and act rightly in particular circumstances.<sup>[14][15][16]</sup>

Aristotle defined practical wisdom as "a true characteristic that is bound up with action, accompanied by reason, and concerned with things good and bad for a human being". Practical wisdom enables a person to deliberate well about "what conduces to living well as a whole". It is the intellectual virtue that guides all moral virtues, helping us determine the right thing to do, at the right time, for the right reason, in the right way.<sup>[15][16]</sup>

Practical wisdom requires several components: First, *perception* of the particular situation, understanding the specific facts and context. Second, an *informed intellect* grounded in understanding of moral truth and the nature of virtues and vices. Third, *experience* gained through making decisions and learning from outcomes over time. Fourth, *deliberative skills* for weighing considerations and reasoning about the best course of action. Finally, *action*—practical wisdom must issue in actual conduct, not merely abstract knowledge.<sup>[16]</sup>

In the Vision for America, wisdom should guide both individual choices and collective decisions. Wise citizens exercise sound judgment in their personal lives, families, and communities. They understand principles but apply them with sensitivity to context. Wise leaders make decisions that serve genuine public interest rather than narrow partisan advantage. A wise society creates space for practical wisdom to operate, avoiding rigid bureaucratic rules that eliminate judgment and context.<sup>[16]</sup>

Practical wisdom also provides the bridge between moral and intellectual excellence. It integrates knowledge of the good with the ability to realize that good in action, tempering strict principles with understanding of human nature and circumstances.

## Industrious and Hard-Working: Complementary Virtues

The distinction between being "industrious" and "hard-working" in the SVB Interests vision represents two related but distinct character qualities. While both involve dedication and effort, they emphasize different aspects of productive character.<sup>[17][18]</sup>

Hard-working describes someone diligent and persistent in their efforts, willing to invest long hours and sustained effort to complete tasks. The hard-working person demonstrates strong work ethic, determination to see things through, and commitment to quality. Hard work emphasizes persistence, endurance, and the willingness to do what is necessary even when difficult or tedious.<sup>[17]</sup>

Industrious, by contrast, emphasizes not just effort but also resourcefulness, initiative, and innovation. The industrious person is creative in approaching problems, finds efficient ways to accomplish goals, and demonstrates self-motivation in identifying and pursuing productive activities. Industriousness combines hard work with cleverness, inventiveness, and entrepreneurial spirit. An industrious person doesn't merely work hard at assigned tasks but actively seeks opportunities to create value and improve situations.<sup>[18][17]</sup>

Both qualities matter for a flourishing society. Hard work provides the sustained effort necessary to build and maintain civilization's infrastructure—physical, social, and cultural. Industriousness drives progress, innovation, and adaptation to changing circumstances. Together, they represent the productive energy that generates prosperity and allows societies to solve problems and improve conditions over time.

In the American context, both virtues align with founding ideals. The American experiment has always celebrated both honest labor and entrepreneurial initiative, both perseverance and innovation. A vision for America that emphasizes these qualities recognizes that flourishing requires citizens who don't simply wait for provision but actively contribute to the common good through their productive efforts.

## Virtuous Citizens: The Aristotelian Framework

The concept of the "virtuous citizen" draws directly from Aristotle's virtue ethics, integrated with Christian moral theology that developed those insights further. Aristotle understood virtues as excellent traits of character that enable human flourishing (*eudaimonia*). Unlike skills or talents, virtues concern both emotion and action—they involve feeling and acting rightly in ways that promote the good life.<sup>[19][20]</sup>

Aristotle identified moral virtues as means between extremes of excess and deficiency. Courage, for instance, represents the mean between cowardice and recklessness; temperance lies between self-indulgence and insensibility. Each virtue requires practical wisdom to identify the appropriate mean in particular circumstances, recognizing that the "middle way" varies with context rather than being a mechanical midpoint.<sup>[21][20][22][19]</sup>

The **four cardinal virtues** provide the foundational framework for moral excellence:

**Prudence** (practical wisdom) disposes the intellect to discern true good in every circumstance and choose right means to achieve it. Prudence guides all other virtues by setting their proper rule and measure. **Justice** consists in the constant, firm will to give each person their due—to God, to neighbor, and to oneself. Justice establishes right relationships and promotes the common good alongside individual rights. **Fortitude** (courage) ensures firmness in difficulties and constancy in pursuing good. It conquers fear, faces trials, and provides strength to resist temptations and overcome obstacles. **Temperance** moderates attraction to pleasures and provides balance in using created goods. It ensures mastery over instincts and keeps desires within honorable limits.<sup>[23][24][7][21][6]</sup>

Christian theology added **three theological virtues** that perfect human capacities and direct them toward union with God: **Faith** enables belief in God and all He has revealed, providing the foundation for religious commitment and trust in divine truth. **Hope** establishes confident expectation of eternal life and the grace needed to attain it, sustaining perseverance through trials. **Charity** (love) directs the will toward God and neighbor, representing the supreme virtue that gives life to all others and orients the person toward genuine good.<sup>[25][19]</sup>

In the American civic context, virtuous citizens embody these excellences in their personal conduct, family relationships, community involvement, and civic participation. They exercise prudence in making decisions affecting themselves and others. They practice justice in their dealings, respecting rights and fulfilling obligations. They demonstrate courage in facing challenges and standing for principle. They exercise temperance in moderating desires and maintaining balanced lives. While the theological virtues have explicitly religious dimensions, they also find expression in civic life through commitment to truth, hope for the common future, and genuine care for fellow citizens.

## Habits of Moral Excellence

Aristotle's fundamental insight about virtue was that "moral excellence comes about as a result of habit". We become just by doing just acts, temperate by doing temperate acts, brave by doing brave acts. Virtues are not innate gifts or abstract knowledge but settled dispositions developed through repeated practice.<sup>[26][27]</sup>

The habits that cultivate moral excellence involve several dimensions. First, we must practice virtuous actions consistently. Just as one becomes a skilled musician through repeated practice, one becomes generous, honest, or courageous through repeatedly acting generously, honestly, or courageously. Second, we must attend to our emotional responses, training our feelings to align with right reason. The virtuous person not only acts rightly but feels rightly—experiencing appropriate pleasure in good actions and appropriate pain at wrongdoing. Third, we must reflect on our actions and their outcomes, learning from experience what constitutes genuine virtue in varied circumstances.<sup>[28]</sup>

Developing moral habits requires both individual effort and supportive social structures. Families play the primary role in moral formation, teaching children through example, instruction, correction, and encouragement. Communities reinforce moral habits through their expectations, recognition of virtue, and disapproval of vice. Institutions shape moral development by the incentives they create and the behaviors they reward.<sup>[27]</sup>

For America to be a society of morally excellent citizens requires cultivation of these habits from childhood through adulthood. It means families consciously forming character, schools educating for virtue alongside knowledge, communities maintaining moral standards, and institutions supporting rather than undermining moral development.

## Habits of Intellectual Excellence

While moral excellence develops through habituation, intellectual excellence "owes its birth and growth to teaching (for which reason it requires experience and time)". Aristotle identified five intellectual virtues that constitute excellence of mind.<sup>[20][29][27]</sup>

**Artistry** (*techne*) represents skill in making or producing things according to right reason—the craftsman's knowledge that guides creation of beautiful and functional objects. **Scientific knowledge** (*episteme*) grasps necessary truths about things that cannot be otherwise—the systematic understanding that comes from rigorous inquiry. **Intuitive understanding** (*nous*) apprehends first principles and self-evident truths that provide foundations for other knowledge. **Theoretical wisdom** (*sophia*) combines scientific knowledge with intuitive reason regarding the highest objects—the comprehensive understanding of fundamental truths. **Practical wisdom** (*phronesis*), already discussed, enables sound judgment about how to live and act well.<sup>[29][30][14][15][20]</sup>

Cultivating these intellectual virtues requires sustained education and experience. It demands rigorous study to develop systematic knowledge, practice in reasoning to refine judgment, exposure to excellent examples to understand standards of craft and thought, and time to accumulate the experience from which deeper understanding emerges.<sup>[27]</sup>

For American society, fostering intellectual excellence means maintaining educational institutions that pursue genuine knowledge rather than mere credentialing, encouraging critical thinking and sound reasoning, preserving space for contemplation alongside action, and recognizing that wisdom develops over time through experience.

## Ensuring Human Dignity

Human dignity represents the inherent, inviolable worth that belongs to every person by virtue of their humanity. Immanuel Kant provided the classic philosophical articulation: human beings possess "not merely a relative value, that is, a price, but an inner value, that is, dignity". This dignity flows from human rationality and moral autonomy—our capacity for reason, moral judgment, and self-directed action.<sup>[31][32][33][34]</sup>

Several principles follow from this understanding of dignity. First, dignity is *intrinsic*—it belongs to persons simply because they are human, not dependent on achievements, abilities, social status, or



others' opinions. Second, dignity is *equal*—all human beings possess it equally, regardless of differences in talent, virtue, or contribution. Third, dignity is *inalienable*—persons cannot lose it through disability, vice, crime, or degradation, though it can certainly be violated by others or ignored. Fourth, dignity requires *recognition*—while inherent, dignity must be acknowledged and respected in how persons are treated.<sup>[32][31]</sup>

In America's constitutional republic, ensuring dignity begins with recognizing and protecting fundamental rights. The Constitution and Bill of Rights protect basic liberties essential to human dignity: freedom of conscience, speech, assembly, and worship; due process and equal protection under law; security of person and property; and participation in self-governance. These rights don't grant dignity—which exists prior to any legal recognition—but they protect the conditions necessary for dignity to flourish.<sup>[35][36][37]</sup>

Beyond legal protections, ensuring dignity requires social and economic arrangements that enable people to meet basic needs, develop their capacities, and participate meaningfully in community life. It means access to education, opportunity to work and provide for oneself and family, protection from arbitrary treatment, and ability to contribute to common decisions. A society that ensures dignity creates space for people to live as moral agents rather than mere objects or dependents.

Importantly, dignity coexists with accountability. Respecting human dignity doesn't mean exempting people from consequences of their choices or denying legitimate differences in desert based on conduct. Rather, it means treating even those who act wrongly as moral agents responsible for their conduct rather than mere problems to be managed.

## America as a Constitutional Republic

The SVB Interests vision emphasizes America as a "Constitutional Republic" rather than a pure democracy—a distinction with important implications. In a constitutional republic, the people exercise power through elected representatives rather than direct legislation, and that power operates within limits established by a constitution.<sup>[13][12]</sup>

Basic constitutional rights in the American system include: **First Amendment freedoms**—religion, speech, press, assembly, and petition; **Second Amendment** right to keep and bear arms; **Due process rights**—protections against unreasonable searches, requirement of warrants, right to fair trial; **Equal protection** under the law; **Voting rights** to participate in selecting representatives; and **Reserved powers** retained by states and people beyond those delegated to federal government.<sup>[36][35]</sup>

These rights create a framework for ordered liberty—freedom exercised within structures that protect both individual rights and collective welfare. The constitutional system disperses power among branches (legislative, executive, judicial) and levels (federal, state, local) of government, preventing concentration of authority while maintaining capacity for effective governance.<sup>[38][35]</sup>

Implied duties of citizenship flow from this constitutional framework: **Support and defend the Constitution** through understanding and upholding its principles; **Obey laws** at all levels while working through proper channels to change unjust laws; **Pay taxes** honestly and on time to fund legitimate government functions; **Serve on juries** when called to ensure fair administration of justice; **Participate in democratic processes** through voting, staying informed, and engaging in public discourse; **Respect rights of others** even when disagreeing with their views or choices; **Contribute to the common good** through work, service, and civic engagement.<sup>[39][40][41]</sup>

These duties are largely unenforceable through law but essential for constitutional government to function. A republic depends on citizens who understand their responsibilities and fulfill them from civic virtue rather than mere compulsion.

## Citizens of Goodwill

A "citizen of goodwill" refers to someone who approaches others and civic life with benevolent intentions, seeks the welfare of the community alongside their own interests, and engages fellow citizens with basic respect and fair-mindedness.<sup>[42][43][44]</sup>

Goodwill, in its classical sense, represents friendly, helpful, cooperative feelings and attitudes. Aristotle distinguished goodwill from friendship, noting that goodwill can exist even toward those we don't know personally—a general disposition of benevolence rather than deep attachment. Goodwill involves wishing others well and being willing to act for their benefit without expectation of immediate return.<sup>[42]</sup>

Citizens of goodwill, therefore, are those who genuinely desire the flourishing of their fellow citizens and their society. They assume good faith in others until shown otherwise, interpret ambiguous situations charitably, seek common ground, and work cooperatively toward shared goals. They disagree without demonizing opponents, debate ideas vigorously while respecting persons, and recognize that reasonable people can differ on many questions.

This concept doesn't require naive trust or denial of genuine conflicts. Citizens of goodwill can recognize bad faith actors, oppose harmful policies, and contend vigorously for their principles. But they approach civic life presuming that most fellow citizens, however mistaken their views may seem, act from sincere beliefs about the good rather than malice. They distinguish principled opposition from personal enmity.

In a diverse republic, citizens of goodwill provide the social foundation for civic peace. They create the trust necessary for cooperation, the tolerance essential for pluralism, and the forbearance required for democratic deliberation.

## Assuring Dignity for Citizens of Goodwill

The SVB Interests vision emphasizes special responsibility to ensure dignity for "citizens of goodwill who legitimately suffer." This recognizes that in any society, some members will face hardship despite their good intentions and efforts—through illness, accident, economic disruption, natural disasters, or other causes largely beyond their control.

Assuring dignity for such citizens involves several dimensions. First, providing practical assistance with material needs when citizens cannot provide for themselves through no fault of their own—food, shelter, medical care, and other necessities. Second, maintaining institutions that help people weather temporary difficulties without permanent dependence—unemployment insurance, disability support, emergency relief. Third, creating opportunities for those facing barriers to reenter productive life—job training, rehabilitation services, and accommodations for disabilities.

Importantly, this assistance should itself respect dignity rather than undermine it. Programs that ensure dignity avoid both extremes: they don't abandon people to destitution, but

neither do they create permanent dependency or treat recipients as passive objects of charity. Instead, they support people's agency and capacity for self-direction while meeting legitimate needs.

The distinction between citizens of goodwill and others matters here. Those who make genuine efforts, demonstrate willingness to contribute, and approach community responsibility seriously have stronger claims on collective support than those who persistently refuse responsibility or exploit communal generosity. This isn't about punishing misfortune but recognizing that finite resources should prioritize those who share commitments to reciprocity and mutual obligation.

### Legitimate versus Illegitimate Suffering

The distinction between legitimate and illegitimate suffering, drawn from Carl Jung's insight that "neurosis is always a substitute for legitimate suffering," proves crucial for understanding the SVB vision.<sup>[45][46]</sup>

**Legitimate suffering** is the unavoidable pain inherent in human existence. It includes grief over lost loved ones; anguish when facing serious illness or injury; distress when caring for dependents while exhausted; frustration when circumstances prevent achievement of important goals; and disappointment when reality doesn't match hopes. Legitimate suffering comes from facing life's genuine difficulties honestly rather than avoiding them. It's the pain that accompanies growth, moral seriousness, accepting responsibility, making hard choices, and confronting uncomfortable truths.<sup>[47][45]</sup>

**Illegitimate suffering**, by contrast, is self-imposed pain we create through avoidance, denial, or refusal to make necessary changes. It includes anxiety from refusing to make needed decisions; depression from denying authentic feelings; symptoms from avoiding difficult but necessary conversations; consequences of persisting in destructive patterns rather than changing; and distress from blaming others instead of taking responsibility. Illegitimate suffering results from the neurotic attempt to escape legitimate suffering—sticking our heads in the sand rather than facing reality.<sup>[45]</sup>

Jung's point was that attempting to avoid legitimate suffering doesn't eliminate pain—it multiplies it by adding neurotic symptoms to original difficulties. Only by accepting and working through legitimate suffering can we move past it to growth and healing. Denying legitimate suffering keeps us stuck, whereas embracing it allows transformation.<sup>[46][48]</sup>

For civic life, this distinction matters greatly. A society that tries to eliminate all suffering, regardless of source, infantilizes citizens and creates dependency. A mature society recognizes that some suffering is inevitable and even valuable for development of character and wisdom. The proper aim isn't eliminating suffering but supporting people through legitimate suffering while encouraging them to take responsibility for changing what they can control.

### Can All Suffering Be Eliminated?

Buddhist philosophy famously holds that suffering can be eliminated by removing its causes—ignorance, craving, and attachment. Through disciplined practice of the Eightfold Path, one can supposedly achieve a state beyond suffering. Some modern philosophers and



scientists speculate about using genetic engineering and neuroscience to eliminate pain and negative experiences.<sup>[49][50][51][47]</sup>

However, most Western philosophical traditions recognize that eliminating all suffering is neither possible nor desirable. First, much suffering results from circumstances genuinely beyond human control—natural disasters, disease, accidents, death. While we can mitigate some suffering through technology and social arrangements, we cannot eliminate the human condition of vulnerability to loss and harm.

Second, attempting to eliminate suffering could require unacceptable costs. If we eliminated suffering by suppressing all negative emotions, we might lose important information about genuine threats and problems. If we removed all painful experiences, we might undermine motivation for growth and change. If we engineered away all discomfort, we might create a sterile existence lacking depth and meaning. The ability to suffer represents part of our capacity for deep feeling and engagement with reality—eliminating it entirely might mean losing much that makes life worthwhile.<sup>[51][52]</sup>

Third, some suffering serves valuable purposes. Pain warns us of injury and motivates protective action. Grief honors love and processes loss. Anxiety prompts preparation for genuine challenges. Guilt signals violation of moral commitments. Righteous anger responds to injustice. While extreme or disproportionate versions of these become problematic, eliminating the capacities entirely would impair human flourishing rather than enhance it.

The realistic goal, then, is not elimination of all suffering but reduction of unnecessary suffering while helping people cope with unavoidable suffering in ways that preserve dignity and promote growth. This requires distinguishing different types of suffering and responding appropriately to each—preventing preventable suffering, alleviating excess suffering, accepting legitimate suffering, and supporting people through genuine hardship.

## Conclusion: An Integrated Vision

The SVB Interests vision articulates a comprehensive philosophy of American civic life grounded in classical virtue ethics, Christian moral theology, and constitutional principles. It envisions America's national soul—its essential character and purpose—as oriented toward justice: the fair treatment of all persons and right ordering of social life to promote genuine human flourishing.

This just society depends on citizens who cultivate both moral and intellectual excellence. Moral virtues—justice, prudence, courage, temperance, along with the theological virtues of faith, hope, and charity—shape character and guide conduct. These develop through deliberate habit formation in families, communities, and institutions that support virtue rather than undermine it. Intellectual virtues—practical wisdom, theoretical knowledge, technical skill—develop through education and experience, enabling sound judgment about particular circumstances while grounded in understanding of enduring truth.

The vision celebrates both industriousness and hard work, recognizing that flourishing requires not only sustained effort but also creativity, initiative, and productive enterprise. It

emphasizes that citizens must actively contribute to the common good rather than merely consume its benefits.

Crucially, the vision recognizes human dignity as inherent in all persons, requiring both protection of fundamental rights and creation of conditions where people can develop their capacities and live as moral agents. In America's constitutional republic, this means maintaining the framework of ordered liberty that disperses power, protects basic freedoms, and enables self-governance while requiring citizens to fulfill duties of support, participation, and mutual respect.

Finally, the vision acknowledges that even in the best society, some will suffer through no fault of their own. For citizens of goodwill who face legitimate suffering, the community has special responsibility to provide assistance that respects dignity while supporting agency. This requires distinguishing legitimate from illegitimate suffering and recognizing that we can reduce unnecessary suffering without eliminating the inevitable challenges inherent in human existence.

This vision offers neither utopian promises nor cynical resignation. Instead, it presents an aspirational but realistic picture of a society where people of genuine virtue can flourish together, where justice governs our common life, where both individual excellence and collective welfare receive their due, and where we support one another through the genuine difficulties of human existence while calling forth the best in each person. It is a vision worthy of the American experiment and the profound philosophical traditions on which it draws.

\*  
\*\*

1. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soul>
2. <https://www.marcnuttall.com/the-existential-soul-of-america/>
3. <https://www.acjcl.org/index.php/estaga/article/download/4816/4678>
4. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American\\_philosophy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_philosophy)
5. [https://philosophynow.org/issues/136/Francis\\_Fukuyama\\_and\\_the\\_Perils\\_of\\_Identity](https://philosophynow.org/issues/136/Francis_Fukuyama_and_the_Perils_of_Identity)
6. <https://www.catholicculture.org/culture/library/catechism/index.cfm?recnum=5026>
7. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cardinal\\_virtues](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cardinal_virtues)
8. <https://www.e-jlia.com/index.php/jlia/article/download/328/245>
9. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/rawls/>
10. <https://www.wisdomlib.org/concept/just-society>
11. <https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/term/just-society/>
12. <https://fiveable.me/key-terms/ap-gov/constitutional-republic>

13. <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/politics-and-government/constitutional-republic>
14. <https://bioethics.hms.harvard.edu/journal/furlan-editors-letter>
15. <https://wisdomcenter.uchicago.edu/news/discussions/wisdom-and-tradition-aristotle>
16. <https://www.artofmanliness.com/character/behavior/practical-wisdom/>
17. <https://thecontentauthority.com/blog/industrious-vs-hardworking>
18. <https://www.accessa.com/what-it-means-to-be-industrious/>
19. <https://scottjeffrey.com/list-of-virtues/>
20. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aristotelian\\_ethics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aristotelian_ethics)
21. <https://www.thomisticmetaphysics.com/philosophy-topics/virtues>
22. <https://philosophy.institute/ethics/aristotles-virtue-ethics-moral-mean/>
23. <https://conciliarpost.com/philosophy/missing-cardinal-virtue-deadly-sin/>
24. [https://www.vatican.va/content/catechism/en/part\\_three/section\\_one/chapter\\_one/article\\_7/i\\_the\\_human\\_virtues.html](https://www.vatican.va/content/catechism/en/part_three/section_one/chapter_one/article_7/i_the_human_virtues.html)
25. <https://www.facebook.com/groups/298549307726102/posts/1724625565118462/>
26. [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/jodybrou\\_philosophyfriday-goinspire2024-positiveleadership-activity-7189676269838467072-Tus9](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/jodybrou_philosophyfriday-goinspire2024-positiveleadership-activity-7189676269838467072-Tus9)
27. <https://educationalrenaissance.com/2019/03/25/excellence-comes-by-habit-aristotle-on-moral-virtue/>
28. <https://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/nicomachaen.2.ii.html>
29. <https://educationalrenaissance.com/aristotles-intellectual-virtues/>
30. <https://briandcolwell.com/what-are-the-intellectual-virtues/>
31. <https://vivredignite.org/en/information/what-is-human-dignity/>
32. [https://philosophynow.org/issues/150/Kants\\_Theory\\_of\\_Human\\_Dignity](https://philosophynow.org/issues/150/Kants_Theory_of_Human_Dignity)
33. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/dignity/>
34. <https://www.humanrightscareers.com/issues/definitions-what-is-human-dignity/>
35. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constitution\\_of\\_the\\_United\\_States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constitution_of_the_United_States)
36. <https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/bill-of-rights-transcript>
37. <https://constitutioncenter.org/the-constitution/white-papers/the-declaration-the-constitution-and-the-bill-of-rights>
38. <https://civics.asu.edu/civic-literacy-curriculum/section1>
39. <https://isalawyers.com/2025/06/27/rights-and-responsibilities-of-u-s-citizenship-more-than-just-a-passport/>

40. [https://www.oas.org/dil/access\\_to\\_information\\_human\\_right\\_American\\_Declaration\\_of\\_the\\_Rights\\_and\\_Duties\\_of\\_Man.pdf](https://www.oas.org/dil/access_to_information_human_right_American_Declaration_of_the_Rights_and_Duties_of_Man.pdf)
41. <https://www.pew.org/en/research-and-analysis/articles/2020/09/16/what-are-our-responsibilities-as-us-citizens>
42. <https://blog.goodwillambassadors.org/p/goodwill.html>
43. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goodwill\\_ambassador](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goodwill_ambassador)
44. <https://blog.goodwillambassadors.org/2014/07/what-do-goodwill-ambassadors-do.html>
45. <https://www.mjklimenko.com/suffering/>
46. <http://experimentaltheology.blogspot.com/2007/11/death-and-doctrine-part-4-legitimate.html>
47. <https://www.shortform.com/blog/philosophy-of-suffering/>
48. [https://www.reddit.com/r/Jung/comments/v2cqp8/what\\_did\\_carl\\_jung\\_mean\\_when\\_he\\_wrote\\_neurosis\\_is/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Jung/comments/v2cqp8/what_did_carl_jung_mean_when_he_wrote_neurosis_is/)
49. <https://philosophybreak.com/articles/the-buddha-four-noble-truths-the-cure-for-suffering/>
50. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eradication\\_of\\_suffering](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eradication_of_suffering)
51. <https://theconversation.com/solve-suffering-by-blowing-up-the-universe-the-dubious-philosophy-of-human-extinction-149331>
52. [https://philosophynow.org/issues/56/The\\_End\\_of\\_Suffering](https://philosophynow.org/issues/56/The_End_of_Suffering)
53. <https://www.canyonsdistrict.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/HS-Rights-and-Responsibilities.pdf>
54. <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/centers/wiener/programs/economy/our-work/reimagining-economy-blog/daniel-chandler-describes-just>
55. <https://my.uscis.gov/citizenship/information>
56. <https://iep.utm.edu/human-dignity/>
57. <https://philosophybreak.com/articles/john-rawls-how-a-veil-of-ignorance-can-help-us-build-a-just-society/>
58. [https://www.senate.gov/civics/constitution\\_item/constitution.htm](https://www.senate.gov/civics/constitution_item/constitution.htm)
59. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10909333/>
60. [https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/24dw1z/what\\_is\\_an\\_example\\_of\\_a\\_fair\\_and\\_just\\_society\\_and/](https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/24dw1z/what_is_an_example_of_a_fair_and_just_society_and/)
61. <https://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/amendmentxiv>
62. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11245-024-10010-5>
63. <https://academic.oup.com/book/58683>

64. [http://www.pdcnet.org/oom/service?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft\\_val\\_fmt=&rft.imuse\\_id=cogito\\_1991\\_0005\\_0001\\_0042\\_0045&svc\\_id=info:www.pdcnet.org/collection](http://www.pdcnet.org/oom/service?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=&rft.imuse_id=cogito_1991_0005_0001_0042_0045&svc_id=info:www.pdcnet.org/collection)
65. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40926-023-00239-2>
66. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1057/omj.2010.15>
67. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02580136.2023.2275233>
68. <https://papers.academic-conferences.org/index.php/eckm/article/view/3604>
69. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11245-015-9333-4>
70. <https://knowledge.uchicago.edu/record/681>
71. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11249420/>
72. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11753716/>
73. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/10892680211023063>
74. <https://pressto.amu.edu.pl/index.php/peitho/article/download/30470/26952>
75. <https://strengthwithdignity.com/life-and-godliness-moral-excellence/>
76. <https://www.testpartnership.com/academy/industriousness.html>
77. <https://www.facebook.com/brokenheart soul1/posts/moral-excellence-comes-about-as-a-result-of-habit-we-become-just-by-doing-just-a/1401170454844533/>
78. <https://overcrowdednycschools.org/synonyms/exploring-synonyms-of-hardworking-industrious-diligent-and-more/>
79. <https://www.siue.edu/~evailat/el5.html>
80. <https://brainly.ph/question/8270581>
81. <https://fromthegreennotebook.com/2023/05/22/4-types-of-officers-and-how-to-develop-yourself-and-others/>
82. [https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/u40dip/can\\_someone\\_possess\\_wisdom\\_as\\_aristotle\\_defines/](https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/u40dip/can_someone_possess_wisdom_as_aristotle_defines/)
83. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/701007>
84. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1084707/full>
85. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/667d4e2401327d095723545685060ce51ebd8405>
86. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13691457.2018.1461072>
87. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/77f399a21f3881ad6ae5727cf29749d672e57425>
88. [https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0028428924000428/type/journal\\_article](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0028428924000428/type/journal_article)



89. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dc35cab1fba59f0367afe51ef8e0a9212e30e6a3>
90. <https://azbuki.bg/en/uncategorized/the-actuality-of-aristotelian-virtues/>
91. [https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1052150X00001573/type/journal\\_article](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1052150X00001573/type/journal_article)
92. [http://link.springer.com/10.1057/9781403978288\\_2](http://link.springer.com/10.1057/9781403978288_2)
93. <http://www.emerald.com/md/article/50/5/868-899/286349>
94. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00033286251359169>
95. <http://crimsonpublishers.com/ggs/pdf/GGS.000606.pdf>
96. <https://zenodo.org/record/2017388/files/article.pdf>
97. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.565953/pdf>
98. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/24740500.2022.2263950?needAccess=true>
99. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7751260/>
100. <http://metaphysicsjournal.com/articles/10.5334/met.5/galley/9/download/>
101. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9968683/>
102. <http://aither.upol.cz/doi/10.5507/aither.2023.001.pdf>
103. <https://www.davidpcassidy.com/blog/the-four-cardinal-virtues-a-timeless-guide-to-christian-faithfulness>
104. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/soul-religion-and-philosophy>
105. [https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/l61ko4/what\\_is\\_a\\_soul/](https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/l61ko4/what_is_a_soul/)
106. <https://ascensionpress.com/blogs/articles/complete-list-of-virtues-and-vices-every-catholic-should-know>
107. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ancient-soul/>
108. [https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/6kgs4z/aristotles\\_virtue\\_ethics\\_distinction\\_between\\_12/](https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/6kgs4z/aristotles_virtue_ethics_distinction_between_12/)
109. [https://philosophynow.org/issues/82/On\\_The\\_Soul](https://philosophynow.org/issues/82/On_The_Soul)
110. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-ethics/>
111. <https://www.newadvent.org/summa/2061.htm>
112. <https://www.rep.routledge.com/articles/thematic/soul-nature-and-immortality-of-the/v-1>
113. <https://gregorybsadler.substack.com/p/about-the-four-cardinal-virtues>
114. <http://www.legionofmarytidewater.com/news/news07/may/divinemysteries.htm>
115. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/13/10722>

116. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/55ad8b0228e76d78f31c023aca6d853c80b30ee8>
117. <https://philosophyjournal.spbu.ru/article/view/14468>
118. <https://open-research-europe.ec.europa.eu/articles/2-89/v2>
119. <https://history-philosophy-vestnik.buketov.edu.kz/index.php/history-philosophy-vestnik/article/view/1558/929>
120. <https://jecrs.pl/index.php/jecrs/article/view/1478>
121. <https://journals.eco-vector.com/2658-7750/article/view/121294>
122. <https://www.scirp.org/journal/doi.aspx?doi=10.4236/ojpp.2022.123029>
123. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00131857.2024.2409742>
124. <https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/PP/article/view/82465>
125. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/FA967DDEF3B32D8E7CB1503C39676A89/S1053837222000748a.pdf/div-class-title-smith-at-300-negative-justice-and-political-wisdom-div.pdf>
126. <https://www.mdpi.com/2409-9287/5/4/44/pdf>
127. <https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/robert-nozick8217s-entitlement-theory-of-justice-libertarian-rights-and-the-minimal-state-a-critical-evaluation-2169-0170-1000234-97787.html>
128. [https://www.ntnu.no/ojs/index.php/etikk\\_i\\_praksis/article/download/1940/2030](https://www.ntnu.no/ojs/index.php/etikk_i_praksis/article/download/1940/2030)
129. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7651792/>
130. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/theo.12433>
131. <https://kirkcenter.org/reviews/defining-the-just-society/>